

**Question 1.** Why do we use  $e^x$  more than  $\exp x$  for the exponential function?

*Answer.* These are the same function, so I assume you are asking, why do most people and the book use the notation  $e^x$  instead of  $\exp x$ ?

The best answer to this is probably that it is traditional. Euler was one of the earlier mathematicians to explore this function with a complex domain, and he used this notation:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Contributions\\_of\\_Leonhard\\_Euler\\_to\\_mathematics](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Contributions_of_Leonhard_Euler_to_mathematics)

But it could also be emphasis; to emphasize that we have a function, and that its domain and range are important, we write  $\exp x$ . Also, this mirrors the notation for logarithm.

Let  $a$  be a positive real number.

The exponential function base  $a$  is

$$\exp_a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty) \quad \text{given by} \quad \exp_a(x) = \exp(x \log(a)) = a^x.$$

The logarithm function base  $a$  is

$$\log_a : (0, \infty) \quad \text{given by} \quad \log_a(x) = y \Leftrightarrow \exp_a(y) = x.$$

Note the symmetry in the notation. □

**Question 2.** So  $e$  just signifies exponential growth? What else was I supposed to get from the document? I understand the rules and the way exponents work, but in what other way do they relate to  $e$ ?

*Answer.* The first question addressed by the document is, “What does  $a^x$  mean if  $x$  is irrational?”

So first we say what  $a^x$  means when  $x$  is rational, and this is motivated by the rules of what it means when  $x$  is a positive integer.

Next we say “if  $x_n$  is a sequence of rational numbers that converges to  $x$ , then  $a^x$  is the limit of the  $a^{x_n}$ ’s as  $n$  goes to infinity.

The second question addressed by the document is, “what is  $e$ ”. Well, it once we know what  $e^x$  is, we define  $e = e^1$ , such that  $e^x$  is in fact the  $x^{\text{th}}$  power of  $e$  (whether  $x$  is an integer, rational, or irrational).

One approach to saying what  $e$  mean is to consider the balance of an account, with interest compound periodically. As I attempted to explain in the document, the formula is

$$A_k(t) = A_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r}{k} \right)^{kt},$$

where  $A_0$  is the initial deposit,  $r$  is the annual rate,  $k$  is the number of periods per year, and  $A_k(t)$  is the amount of money in the account after  $t$  years.

Then the exponential function produces *continuously compounded interest* by letting the frequency of compounding to to infinity:

$$e^{rt} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k(t).$$

Our edition of Thomas doesn’t do this; instead, Thomas defines things in this order:

- $\log x = \ln x = \int_1^x \frac{1}{t} dt$
- $\exp x = e^x$  is the inverse function of  $\log$
- $\exp_a x = a^x = \exp(x \log a)$
- $\log_a x$  is the inverse function of  $\exp_a$

□

**Question 3.** Will compound interest be anywhere on the AP or our tests?

*Answer.* Noncontinuous interest is not on the AP exam, but continuously compounded interest (an application of  $e^x$  and differential equations) may be. □

**Question 4.** When are we going to start reviewing for the AP?

*Answer.* When we are done with new material. □